Mesoscopic superconductivity: From Andreev transport to Andreev qubits

Alfredo Levy Yeyati







European School on Superconductivity and Magnetism in Quantum Materials. Valencia 21-25th of April, 2024

Outline:

Introduction to mesoscopic superconductivity

1st part: Theoretical modeling of transport Scattering vs Hamiltonian approach Superconducting atomic contacts Transport in Majorana nanowires

2nd part: Detection and manipulation of ABS Effects of length, spin-orbit and interactions Comparison to experiments Towards ABS + cQED theory The Andreev spin qubit







Topology



Lutchyn et al. 2010; Oreg et al. 2010

Hybrid nanowire devices

Saclay



First part: theoretical modeling of transport phenomena

Basic approach to quantum transport: Landauer picture





Alternative modeling: Hamiltonian approach







Keldysh-Nambu formalism Non-equililbrium GFs

 $H = H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_T$

BCS-junctions, Cuevas et al. PRB 96 Difussive FS, Bergeret et al. PRB 05 Graphene/S, Burset et al. PRB 08 Topological, Zazunov et al. PRB 16 Alvarado et al. PRB 20 ABS dynamics, Seoane et al. PRL 16

Aim: calculate





Keldysh Nambu formalism



Mean currents
$$\langle I_{ij} \rangle(t) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\sigma_z \left(\hat{T}_{ij} \hat{G}_{ij}^{+-}(t,t) - \hat{T}_{ij}^{\dagger} \hat{G}_{ji}^{+-}(t,t) \right) \right]$$

Functional integral representation

$$\begin{split} H_{T}(\vec{\chi}) &= \sum_{ij} \hat{\Psi}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{ij}(\vec{\chi}) \hat{\Psi}_{j} + \text{h.c.} & \hat{T}_{ij}(\vec{\chi}) = T_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\chi_{ij}} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-i\chi_{ij}} \end{pmatrix} \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} -\infty & -\frac{i\chi_{ij}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} -\infty & -\frac{i\chi_{ij}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} -\infty & -\frac{i\chi_{ij}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ Z(\vec{\chi}) &= \langle e^{-i\int_{C} H_{T}(\vec{\chi})dt} \rangle & \text{Partition or Generating function} \\ & Z(\vec{\chi}) = \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\hat{\Psi} e^{iS_{eff}(\hat{\Psi},\hat{\Psi},\vec{\chi})} & \text{Boundary Green functions} \\ & S_{eff}(\hat{\Psi},\hat{\Psi},\vec{\chi}) = \sum_{ij} \int_{C} dt \left(\hat{\Psi}_{i},\hat{\Psi}_{j}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{g}_{i}^{-1} & -\hat{T}_{ij} \\ -\hat{T}_{ij}^{\dagger} & \hat{g}_{j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_{i} \\ \hat{\Psi}_{j} \end{pmatrix} \\ & S(\vec{\chi}) = \log Z(\vec{\chi}) & \langle I_{ij} \rangle \propto \frac{i}{2} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \chi_{ij}} & \langle I_{ij} I_{kl} \rangle \propto \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\delta^{2}S}{\delta \chi_{ij}\delta \chi_{kl}} \\ & \text{Cumulant Generating function} \end{split}$$

Superconducting Atomic Contacts:

A test bed for mesoscopic superconductivity



Fabrication techniques

Contact formation with STM





Mechanically controlable break-junctions (MCBJ)

Nanofabricated break-junctions





Conductance steps



Results for one-atom theoretical results LDOS atomic configurations Au 0.2 $5d^{10} 6s^1$ Au sp, 0.1 Al 3s² 3p¹ 0.04 5 Al $4s^2 4p^2$ Pb 0.4 sp_z 0.2 model geometry 0.0 -5 0 1.5 Pb 1.0-

Z



transmission

J.C. Cuevas, A. Levy Yeyati and A. Martín-Rodero, PRL 80, 1066 (1998)

Energy Scales





conduction channels not affected!



Transport between superconducting electrodes



$$E_{F,L} - E_{F,R} = eV > 2\Delta$$

Experimental IV curves in superconducting contacts



Andreev Reflection



Normal metal

Superconductor

Transmitted charge 2e Probability

 $pprox au^2$

Andreev reflection between superconducting electrodes



Multiple Andreev Reflection



probability



transmitted charge



Model for single channel contact



J.C. Cuevas, A. Martín-Rodero and A. Levy Yeyati, PRB 54, 7366 (1996)

BCS leads

$$H_{BCS} = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_k \Delta c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow} + \text{H.c.} \longrightarrow \text{ bulk leads}$$

Local basis

Coupling two leads: NS interface



Andreev reflection

$$R_A(E) = \frac{\tau^2 \Delta^2}{\tau^2 E^2 + (\Delta^2 - E^2) (2 - \tau)^2} |E| \le \Delta$$

$$G_{NS}(V) = \frac{4e^2}{h} R_A(eV) \ e|V| \le \Delta$$

Blonder, Tinkham & Klapwijk, PRB 25, 4515 (1982)



Coupling two superconductors: relevance of phase difference



Voltage biased SC contact: intrinsic time dependence

$$\begin{split} H_{L,R} &\to H_{L,R} - \mu_{L,R} N_{L,R} \qquad eV = \mu_L - \mu_R \\ \phi_{L,R} &\to \phi_{L,R} + \int dt \frac{2e\mu_{L,R}}{\hbar} \quad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{2eV}{\hbar} = \omega_0 \end{split} \text{Josephson frequency} \end{split}$$

Gauge transformation: eliminate time dependence from leads

$$H_T \to H_T = \sum_{\sigma} T_0 \left(c_{L\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{R\sigma} e^{i\phi(t)/2} + c_{R\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{L\sigma} e^{-i\phi(t)/2} \right)$$

Nambu form $H_T = \Psi_L^\dagger \hat{T}_{LR}(t) \Psi_R + \Psi_R^\dagger \hat{T}_{RL} \Psi_L$

$$\langle I \rangle(t) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\sigma_z \left(\hat{T}_{LR} \hat{G}_{LR}^{+-}(t,t) - \hat{T}_{LR}^* \hat{G}_{RL}^{+-}(t,t) \right) \right]$$

Coupled integral equations for full GFs

$$\hat{G}^{r,a} = \left(\hat{1} + \hat{G}^{r,a} \otimes \hat{\Sigma}^{r,a}\right) \otimes \hat{g}^{r,a} \qquad \hat{\Sigma}_{LR}^{r,a} = \left(\hat{\Sigma}_{RL}^{r,a}\right)^{+} = \hat{T}_{LR}(t)$$
$$\hat{G}^{+-} = \left(\hat{1} + \hat{G}^{r} \otimes \hat{\Sigma}^{r}\right) \otimes \hat{g}^{+-} \otimes \left(\hat{1} + \hat{\Sigma}^{a} \otimes \hat{G}^{a}\right)$$

Double Fourier transformation $\hat{G}(t,t') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int d\omega d\omega' e^{-i\omega t + i\omega' t'} \hat{G}(\omega,\omega') \sum_{n} \hat{G}_{n0}(\omega) \delta\left(\omega - \omega' + n\frac{\omega_0}{2}\right)$ $\langle I \rangle(t) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\sigma_z \left(\hat{T}_{LR} G_{LR}^{+-}(t,t) - \hat{T}_{LR}^* G_{RL}^{+-}(t,t) \right) \right]$

$$\implies I(t,V) = \sum_{n} I_n(V) e^{in\omega_0 t}$$

dc + ac components

Pictorial representation



$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{-} \quad \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{T}_{0} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{+} \\ \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{00}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{0}^{-1} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{+} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{-} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{-} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{-1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{+} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{1}^{-1} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{+} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{2} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{-} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{1}^{-1} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{-} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{-2} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{+} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{1}^{-1} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{+} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{-} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{-n}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{1}^{-1} - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}}^{-} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{-n-1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}}^{+} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \end{cases}$$

1

truncation

 $n >> 2\Delta/V$

Theoretical IV curves for superconducting contacts



J.C. Cuevas, A. Martín-Rodero and A. Levy Yeyati, PRB 54, 7366 (1996) same results with different approach: Averin & Bardas (95), Shumeiko et al. (97)

Landau-Zener transitions between AS's



Fitting IV curves for AI contacts



E. Scheer et al, PRL 1997

Consistency with noise measurements



Shot noise in superconducting contacts







30 April 2001

Electric Current in Big Chunks


Andreev transport and topological superconductivity



Hamiltonian approach



TS case: Boundary GF for the Kitaev model

L/R chains in real space

$$H_{L/R} = \sum_{j \in L/R} t c_j^{\dagger} c_{j+1} + \Delta c_j c_{j+1} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$H_{0} = \sum_{k} \Psi_{k}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} t \cos k & -i\Delta \sin k \\ i\Delta \sin k & -t \cos k \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{k}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{k} \qquad \Psi_{k}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{k} & c_{-k}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$

infinite chain GF in real space

$$\hat{G}_{ij}^0 = \sum_k \left[\omega - \mathcal{H}_k\right]^{-1} e^{ik|i-j|}$$



$$z_1^2 = \frac{2\omega^2 - (t^2 + \Delta^2)}{t^2 - \Delta^2} - \operatorname{sign}\left(2\omega^2 - (t^2 + \Delta^2)\right)\sqrt{\left(\frac{2\omega^2 - (t^2 + \Delta^2)}{t^2 - \Delta^2}\right)^2 - 1}$$

Dyson equation for chain breaking

$$\hat{g}_L = \hat{G}_{00}^0 - \hat{G}_{01}^0 \left(\hat{G}_{00}^0\right)^{-1} \hat{G}_{10}^0$$
$$\hat{g}_R = \hat{G}_{00}^0 - \hat{G}_{10}^0 \left(\hat{G}_{00}^0\right)^{-1} \hat{G}_{01}^0$$



Zazunov, Egger & ALY, PRB (2016)

Boundary GFs in $t >> \Delta$ limit

$$\hat{g}_L = \frac{2}{|t|\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2} & \Delta \\ \Delta & \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\hat{g}_R = \frac{2}{|t|\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2} & -\Delta \\ -\Delta & \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2} \end{pmatrix}$$



N-TS case: conductance and noise



Equilibrium TS-TS case: frequency dependent noise



Non-equilibrium TS-TS case: MAR regime

Zazunov, Egger & ALY, PRB (2016)





 $V = \Delta$





 $V = \Delta/2$

 $V = \Delta/3$

S-TS case: differential conductance

Zazunov, Egger & ALY, PRB (2016)



Behavior for spinful model \rightarrow Setiawan et al., PRB (2017)

Second part: Detection and manipulation of Andreev states in hybrid nanowire Josephson junctions



Shiba states Andreev surface states

Andreev states





Interface bound states

Majorana bound states

E-

Δ

N(E



Andreev states and Josephson effect



Condition for perfect transparency:

Kulik 70's

$$\delta - 2 \arccos\left(\frac{E}{\Delta}\right) - (k_e - k_h) L = 2n\pi$$

$$\bigcup_{\substack{k_h \in \mathbb{Z}}} E_n(\delta) \qquad \underset{\substack{k_h \in \mathbb{Z}}}{\underset{\substack{k_h \in \mathbb{Z}}}}}}}$$

ABSs for a short single channel + scatterer



Andreev level qubit (ALQ)



Superconducting flux qubit

Based on single quasiparticle excitations (instead of collective ones)



https://www.andqc.eu/

M. Despósito and ALY, PRB **64**, 140511 (2001) A. Zazunov et al. PRL **90**, 087003 (2003)

Microwave detection and manipulation techniques



cQED techniques

population 0.4 0.3

0.1

Janvier et al., Science (2015)



Time resolved measurements

Josephson junctions based on semiconducting nanowires



Effect of finite length?

Effect of spin-orbit?

Effect of interactions?

Effect of length: ABSs for a single channel + scatterer



Effect of Rashba SOI in multichannel nanowire

Moroz and. Barnes, PRB (1999)

A. Reynoso et al., PRL (2008)



Effect of Rashba SOI in multichannel nanowire



Park and ALY., PRB (2017)

Effect of Rashba SOI in multichannel nanowire



 $\lambda_2 = 2\lambda_1 = 2$

$$\tau = x_r = 0.5$$



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

 $\tau \cos\left((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\varepsilon \pm \delta\right) + (1 - \tau)\cos\left((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\varepsilon x_r\right) = \cos\left(2\arccos\varepsilon - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\varepsilon\right)$

From ABSs to absorption spectrum



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

From ABSs to absorption spectrum: more general



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

Comparison to experiments

The Saclay experiment: InAs/AI core-shell nanowires



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

1µm

The Saclay experiment: c-QED detection technique



The Saclay experiment: c-QED detection technique



 $f_0 = f_r \simeq 3.26 \text{GHz} \qquad Q_{int} \simeq 3 \times 10^5$

The Saclay experiment: absorption spectra

2.0



The Saclay experiment: absorption spectra



Fit with theory







Absorption spectrum

Conversion into physical parameters



 $\alpha \simeq 38 \text{ meVnm}$

Including a magnetic field I: perp direction



Including a magnetic field II: parallel direction



Fit with theory including magnetic field



Fit with theory including magnetic field



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

Fit with theory including magnetic field



Tosi et al., PRX (2019)

Other transitions?


Effect of electron-electron interactions



F.J. Matute Cañadas, C. Metzger et al. PRL (2022)

$$\begin{split} \hat{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int_{\mathrm{WL}} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \Psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') u(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \Psi_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r}') \Psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ u(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') &= u_0 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \\ Estimation (2D \text{ model}) \quad u_0 \quad \sim 3 \quad \mathrm{eVnm}^2 \\ E_c &= u_0 / A \quad \sim \quad 30 \mu \mathrm{eV} \end{split}$$



Effective exchange $-J \vec{S}^2, J \sim u_0/A \sim 5 {
m GHz}$

$$S = 0 \qquad \boxed{2J}$$
$$S = 1 \qquad \boxed{2J}$$

Effect of electron-electron interactions

F.J. Matute Cañadas, C. Metzger et al., PRL (2022)



Related work: Fatemi et al. PRL (2022)

Towards ABSs+cQED theory

Theory of cQED detection

Park et al., PRL (2020) (UAM-Saclay collaboration) $\Phi (\mathbf{X})$ Nanowire **Resonator-NW coupling** $H = H_0(\delta) + \lambda H'_0(\delta) \left(a + a^{\dagger}\right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{\hbar \omega} H_0^{\dagger}(\delta) \left(a + a^{\dagger}\right)^2 + \hbar \omega_R a^{\dagger} a$ $\{ |\Phi_i n \rangle \equiv |\Phi_i \rangle \otimes |n \rangle \}$ Uncoupled resonator-junction basis $\delta E_{i,n}^{(1)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle \Phi_i n | H_0'' \left(a + a^\dagger \right)^2 | \Phi_i n \rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle \Phi_i | H_0'' | \Phi_i \rangle (2n+1)$ $\delta E_{i,n}^{(2)} = -\lambda^2 \sum |\langle \Phi_j | H_0' | \Phi_i \rangle|^2 \left(\frac{n+1}{E_i + \omega_R - E_i} + \frac{n}{E_i - \omega_R - E_i} \right)$

Theory of cQED detection

$$\begin{split} \delta E_{i,n} &= \delta \omega_{R,i} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} |\langle \Phi_j | H'_0 | \Phi_i \rangle|^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_j + \omega_R - E_i} - \frac{1}{E_j - \omega_R - E_i} \right) \\ \delta \omega_{R,i} &= \lambda^2 \left\{ E''_i - \sum_{j \neq i} |\langle \Phi_j | H'_0 | \Phi_i \rangle|^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_j + \omega_R - E_i} + \frac{1}{E_j - \omega_R - E_i} - \frac{2}{E_j - E_i} \right) \right\} \\ &\to 0 \text{ for } \omega_R \to 0 \\ &\to 0 \text{ for } \omega_R \to 0 \\ \\ \text{Two regimes} \\ &\min |E_i - E_j| \gg \omega_R \Rightarrow \delta \omega_{R,i} \propto \frac{|\langle \Phi_j | H'_0 | \Phi_i \rangle|^2}{E_j - \omega_R - E_i} \quad \text{Jaynes-Cummings} \\ &\min |E_i - E_j| \simeq \omega_R \Rightarrow \delta \omega_{R,i} \propto \frac{|\langle \Phi_j | H'_0 | \Phi_i \rangle|^2}{E_j - \omega_R - E_i} \quad \text{Jaynes-Cummings} \\ & \text{("dispersive" regime)} \end{split}$$

From adiabatic to dispersive readout of quantum circuits Park et al., PRL (2020)

Theory of cQED manipulation: different driving fields

$$\hat{H}_d(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\sigma < j\sigma'} (A_{i\sigma,j\sigma'} \gamma_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma_{j\sigma'} e^{i\omega_d t} + \text{h.c.}) \qquad \hat{H}_0 = \sum_{i\sigma} E_{i\sigma}(\delta) \gamma_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma_{i\sigma}$$



Metzger et al., PRR (2021)

Mirror symmetry breaking by nearby gates and partial AI shells



Hays et al., Science (2021)

Theory of cQED: fit of line intensities for a SQPT



The Andreev Spin Qubit (ASQ)

Chtchelkatchev, Nazarov (2003) Padurariu, Nazarov (2010) Park, ALY (2017)

Coherent manipulation of an ASQ



- Direct transitions strongly suppressed

- Idea: Raman transition through higher ABS manifold

J.Cerrillo, M. Hays, V. Fatemi and ALY, PRR (2021)

STIRAP: Stimulated Raman Adiabatic Passage

Raman based coherent manipulation of the ASQ



M. Hays, V. Fatemi, D. Bouman, J. Cerrillo, S. Diamond, K. Serniak, T. Connolly, P. Krogstrup, J. Nygård, ALY, A. Geresdi, M. H. Devoret, Science (2021)

Coherence times of the ASQ



M. Hays, V. Fatemi, D. Bouman, J. Cerrillo, S. Diamond, K. Serniak, T. Connolly, P. Krogstrup, J. Nygård, ALY, A. Geresdi, M. H. Devoret, Science (2021)

Conclusions and outlook

- Evolution from transport to cQED techniques in mesoscopic superconductivity
- Atomic contacts: ideal test system for coherent MAR theory
- Extension to TS case: analytical results for NTS, TSTS, STS, etc
- Evidence of "fine structure" of Andreev levels from microwave spectroscopy
- Evidence of (weak) interactions from mixed pair transitions
- Theory of cQED detection. Understanding of line intensities
- Coherent manipulation of trapped quasiparticles pseudospin by means of Raman transitions (Andreev spin qubit)

Conclusions and outlook

Open issues:

- Origin of excess quasiparticles?
- Decoherence mechanisms for the ASQ?
- Similar experiments in the topological regime

Outlook:

- Multiterminal Josephson-Andreev qubits

F.J. Matute-Cañadas, L. Tosi and ALY PRX Quantum (to be published) arXiv:2312.17305v1

Acknowledgments (this lecture)

Alvaro-Martín Rodero (UAM) Juan Carlos Cuevas (UAM) Francisco Matute-Cañadas (UAM)

Sunghun Park (UAM-Korea) Javier Cerrillo (UAM-Cartagena)

Reinhold Egger (Dusseldorf) Alex Zazunov (Dusseldorf)

Quantronics group (Saclay) Schönenberger group (Basel) Devoret group (Yale)

Leandro Tosi (Saclay-Bariloche) Valla Fatemi (Cornell) Jesper Nygard (Copenhagen) Attila Geresdi (Chalmers) Marcelo Despósito (UBA)

Collaborations on related topics

Eduardo Lee (UAM) Pablo Burset (UAM) Rafael Sánchez (UAM) Hermann Suderow (UAM) Isabel Guillamón (UAM) Edwin Herrera (UAM) Nico Ackermann (UAM) Yuriko Baba (UAM)

Rubén Seoane (UAM-ICMM) Samuel Escribano (UAM-Weizmann) Miguel Alvarado (UAM-ICMM)

Elsa Prada (ICMM) Ramón Aguado (ICMM) Sebastián Bergeret (CFM)